Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/560,176	HAMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. Zucker	1621	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Susan J. Mack</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 4 June 2009	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: . 103 over Nelson (US 3,864,387 02-1975), Umezawa et al (JF Edition, 1992. pages 240-242).	9 001-275541 11-1989) and Solomo	ons (Organic Chemi	istry, 5th
Claims discussed: 2 and 4-7			
Prior art documents discussed: see above			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of th interview, since t	e substance
/Paul A. Zucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	ppropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated to Ms. Mack that the application could be put into condition for allowance by an Examiner's Amendment of the form set forth below. Ms. Mack agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment as follows:

The claims have been amended as follows: Claim 2, line 2: The word –isolated-- has been inserted after the word "hydrolyzing".

Claims 4-7 have been canceled.